Express Mail Label No.: EL752244206US

Application for United States Patent

Attorney Dkt. No.: H0003011

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CONVERSION OF RADAR RETURN DATA

_						
The specif	ication of which					
(check one)	Application Ser	d on ial No :d on	plicable)	as		
			and understand the any amendment referre		the above-id	entified
			formation which is maded and Regulations, §1.		examination	of this
application	n(s) for patent or n for patent or inv	inventor's certificate	under Title 35, United listed below and have ring a filing date befor	also identifie	ed below any	foreign
Prior Fore	ign Application(s)				PriorityClair	med
(Numb	er)	(Country)	(Day/Month/)	Year Filed)	Yes	No
application disclosed: United Sta Code of F	n(s) listed below a in the prior United tes Code §112, I ederal Regulation	nd, insofar as the sub d States application in acknowledge the dut	e 35, United States (ject matter of each of to the manner provided y to disclose material is turred between the filing application:	the claims of the by the first printermation as	this application of T saffined in T	n is not litle 35, litle 37,
(Applicat	ion Serial No.)	(Filing I	Date)	(Status)	(patented, p	-
transact al 40,528), No. 29,57 S. Beulick Michael T 45,548; TI Teasdale, DENNIS (I business in the P Kris T. Fredrick, 5), and Loria B. Y L. Reg. No. 33,33 Persillo, Reg. No. Homas M. Fisher, One Metropolitan C. BREMER at tel	atent and Trademark (Reg. No. 42,554), M Yeadon (Reg. No. 35,68; Patrick W. Rasche 42,180; Bruce T. A Reg. No. 47,564; and Square, Suite 2600, Sephone number (612	y(s) and/or agent(s) to Office connected therevel diriam Jackson (Reg. No. 263) all of Honeywell as Reg. No. 37,916; Rotkins, Reg. No. 43,476; Daniel M. Fitzgerald, St. Louis, MO 63102-26, 1951-6145. Customer Number 000	with: Dennis No. 33,911), I at Customer N obert E. Slenk 6; Robert B. Reg. No. 38,3 740. Address	is application C. Bremer, (R. Larry J. Palgut Number 00012 ker, Reg. No. Reeser III, Resolvent all telephone	and to deg. No. ta (Reg. 28; John 45,112; eg. No. mstrong

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor <u>James R. Hager</u>	
Inventor's Signature Dentiller	Date / May, 2002
Residence Golden Valley, MN 55427	
Citizenship <u>USA</u>	
Post Office Address 1720 Rhode Island Avenue North, Golden Valley, MN	55427
P	Date _
Residence St. Paul, MN 55105	
Citizenship USA	
Post Office Address 1617 Jefferson Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55105	
Full Name of Third Inventor Lavell Jordan Inventor's Signature Residence Bloomington, MN 55437 Citizenship USA	Date <u>71414</u> , 2002
Post Office Address 5800 West 99th Street, Bloomington, MN 55437	
Full Name of Fourth Inventor Curtis J. Petrich Inventor's Signature Lulu J. Petricl Residence Minneapolis, MN 55418	Date <u>7 May</u> , 2002
Citizenship USA	
Post Office Address 3429 Roosevelt St. NE, Minneapolis, MN 55418	

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.